

# TRANSPORT & SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE ADDENDUM

**4.00PM, TUESDAY, 3 OCTOBER 2023**

**COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL**

Agendas and minutes are published on the council's website [www.brighton-hove.gov.uk](http://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk). Agendas are available to view five working days prior to the meeting date.

Electronic agendas can also be accessed through our meetings app available through [ModernGov: iOS/Windows/Android](#)

This agenda and all accompanying reports are printed on recycled paper

# ADDENDUM

ITEM		Page
24	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	3 - 6

# Brighton & Hove City Council

## Transport & Sustainability Committee

## Agenda Item 24(b)

**Subject:** Written Questions

**Date of meeting:** 3 October 2023

A period of not more than fifteen minutes shall be allowed at each ordinary meeting for questions submitted by a member of the public.

The question will be answered without discussion. The person who asked the question may ask one relevant supplementary question, which shall be put and answered without discussion. The person to whom a question, or supplementary question, has been put may decline to answer it.

The following written questions have been received from members of the public:

**(9) Hollingdean parking scheme- Rachel Donoughue**

In the light of the response to the consultation carried out by Brighton and Hove Council with respect to a resident parking scheme for Hollingdean which reported 59.7 per cent of residents were not in favour of the proposed scheme; also in the light of the recommendation in the same report which is before the committee (namely not to proceed with the Hollingdean scheme) can the Chair now assure Hollingdean residents that this scheme will be put aside both now and into the future?

**(10) Elm Grove- Alison Guile**

Can this Chair confirm that the planned improvements, including significant greening on Elm Grove will still go ahead? Residents have seen extensive plans and been consulted on these improvements; we are aware that there remains £600,000 in the pot from the Carbon Neutral Fund. Many councillors have admitted it is a much-neglected street and now the pavement parking has been banned we are left with a badly damaged walkway which needs repair, there is opportunity to enhance both residents and school children's lives by reducing carbon with planting – surely an excellent use, and in the spirit of the fund's intention?

**(11) Elm Grove- James Taylor**

With the cancellation of the Hanover LTN, there will be no safe cycle route for residents or for people accessing Brighton Hospital. Their route will be to cycle up Elm Grove. Due to the gradient there is a large differential in speed between cyclists and cars. This makes it hostile and dangerous for cyclists. Investigations in 2022 indicated that an uphill cycle route could be included on the area that was used for illegal pavement parking. Elm Grove is a 'Strategic Route' in the LCWIP so can you assure us that the junction design upgrades on Elm Grove will consider safe cycling provision on Elm Grove?

**(12) Gardner Street- Ian Baldry**

I would like to ask what the purpose of the consultation regarding the TRO on Gardner street was, if it was not to gauge public opinion on the matter. Over 400 responses have been ignored, and a final decision was made before the consultation began. The repercussion of this decision will be felt by all residents of Brighton and Hove and beyond. The needs of all residents, including the disabled, and the elderly, as well as the complex needs of the businesses in the North Laine should be considered.

# Brighton & Hove City Council

## Transport & Sustainability Committee

## Agenda Item 24(c)

**Subject: Deputations**

**Date of meeting: 3 October 2023**

A period of not more than fifteen minutes shall be allowed at each ordinary meeting of the Council for the hearing of deputations from members of the public.

Notification of one Deputation has been received. The spokesperson is entitled to speak for 5 minutes.

### **1) Deputation: Withdean CPZ**

We are against the Council's plan for a Monday to Sunday light touch scheme. We consider the Council's plan to impose a full week restriction on Wayland Avenue to be unnecessary and undemocratic. The Council has insisted on making Wayland Avenue part of the scheme even though it was driven by a petition from residents in Withdean Road and the plan is geographically incongruous.

Only a small proportion of residents in Wayland Avenue were aware of the initial petition and over half the residents weren't even consulted about it.

A FOI response showed 75% of residents in Wayland Avenue who wanted a light touch scheme, opted for the Mon to Fri choice. Yet, Council officers have said overall 65% of residents were in favour of a full week light touch scheme!

Also, it has come to light recently, that the Council had an agenda to convert all light touch schemes into full schemes. Councillors have been told to liaise with residents to make them aware of this.

It could be argued that if residents had been aware of the Council's longer term plans, more would have voted against it, given the increased charges they and their visitors/carers/tradespersons would incur.

Throughout the consultation process the Council has not been entirely accurate, open, transparent or professional.

We request that the Council does not go ahead with a Monday to Sunday scheme and, at the same time, offers assurances it will not make the light touch scheme into 'all day / everyday', which would be contrary to the Council's original proposal sent to residents.

### **Supported by:**

Richard Hibbitt

Alison Bryan

Mr Goldsmith

Mrs Goldsmith

Jennie Chamberlain (Lead Spokesperson)

Olivia Chamberlain

Sonny Chamberlain

James Morris

## 2) Deputation: Gardner Street TRO

We wish for our concerns about the process of evaluating the response to the TRO to be raised. We are not here to overturn the decision but rather in the hope that we can improve decision making processes so going forward we can work better together to secure a positive future for the North Laine and all its residents.

In terms of the process we're concerned around 400 objections to the TRO appear to be being discounted. The Councils notice clearly said that objections should be made in writing and gave an email address. Also the line they objected to in the QR generated email was copy and pasted from the proposal. The email started "I object to the reintroduction of driving and traffic on Gardner Street Monday to Sunday 11am to 5pm for the following reasons:" The Notice declares the intention to "revoke the prohibition of driving Monday to Sunday 11am to 5pm". It was intentionally composed using the councils own wording so that they could not do, what they are doing.

So many traders want a greener, accessible North Laine. We're keen to work together to find a long term solution that could provide both local communities and tourists alike with a retail/leisure area which is healthier for all individuals, safer for children, more prosperous for businesses, and better for the planet.

### **Supported by:**

Stuart Bell (Lead Spokesperson)

Said El faghloumi

Mohammed Boughelam

Rafet Nese Ertekin Baldry

Vita Koorehpaz

Martha Gladwin